

Stakeholder Comment Matrix – September 23, 2020 Request for feedback on sub-hourly settlement, session 3 material



Period of Comment: September 23, 2020 through October 7, 2020	Contact: [REDACTED]
Comments From: EPCOR	Phone: [REDACTED]
Date: 29-SEPT-2020	Email: [REDACTED]

The AESO is seeking comments from stakeholders on its approach to reviewing sub-hourly settlement, and content from session 3.

- 1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.*
- 2. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments.*
- 3. Email your completed matrix to stakeholder.relations@aeso.ca by October 7, 2020*
- 4. Stakeholder comments will be published to aeso.ca, in their original state, with personal or commercially sensitive information redacted, following October 7, 2020.*

	Questions	Stakeholder Comments
1.	<p>The AESO has presented the costs and benefits on sub-hourly settlement. Do you believe there are other considerations that should have been considered? Do you have comments related to the material the AESO presented?</p> <p>If yes, please elaborate.</p>	<p>EPCOR has not identified any additional considerations nor does EPCOR have any comments related to the materials presented.</p>
2.	<p>The AESO's decision is to not pursue sub-hourly settlement at this time. Do you have comments related to this decision?</p>	<p>EPCOR supports the decision to not pursue sub-hourly settlement at this time.</p>
3.	<p>Do you think there is value in further exploring payment to load on the margin given the benefits and issues identified?</p> <p>If yes, please describe if you would be willing to participate and what topics should be explored and why.</p>	<p>EPCOR does not have a comment on this issue.</p>
4.	<p>Do you feel you have been able to adequately participate and provide comments to the AESO through this engagement?</p> <p>If no, please describe your concerns.</p>	<p>EPCOR feels that this engagement provided the ability for stakeholders to participate effectively.</p>
5.	<p>Do you believe the AESO was effective in the preparation and presentation of the material?</p> <p>If no, please provide suggestions for the improvement of future engagements.</p>	<p>EPCOR feels that the information shared during this engagement by AESO was presented in effective way.</p> <p>In the future, to support a more accurate cost and impact assessment, a full technical design document and detailed requirements definition exercise would be beneficial. This would allow us to fully assess the impacts on our applications and processes.</p>

	Questions	Stakeholder Comments
6.	Please provide any other comments you have related to the sub-hourly settlement engagement.	<p>The final recommendations of this engagement indicated that although adoption of sub-hourly settlement is not recommended at this time, this market design may be pursued in the future. Market participants were encouraged to consider incorporating the ability to settle sub-hourly when making future upgrades to their systems.</p> <p>The costs provided by EDTI and EEA were provided as order of magnitude estimates. EPCOR requires additional due diligence, technical specifications and detailed requirements definitions to confirm actual costs.</p> <p>EPCOR would need make significant changes to our information systems and processes to accommodate this change. This could not be done without a firm decision to proceed and would require adequate time for planning, implementation, and testing. It would also require the appropriate project and budgetary approvals.</p>

Thank you for your input. Please email your comments to: stakeholder.relations@aeso.ca.