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**The AESO is seeking additional comments from Stakeholders on the following topics for the proposed New Section 502.10 of the ISO rules, *Revenue Metering Technical Requirements* (“Section 502.10”):**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Question** | **Stakeholder Comments and/or Alternate Proposal** |
| 1. “revenue meter” Definition | Further to the comments raised during the December 11, 2019 stakeholder session, as detailed in the meeting minutes posted on the AESO website, please indicate any additional concerns regarding the proposed defined term and definition “revenue meter” and provide suggested wording revisions including any physical components that should be included in the definition.  “**revenue meter**” means the apparatus that measures active energy or reactive energy at intervals defined by the **ISO** for the purpose of financial settlement with the **ISO**. |  |
| 1. “revenue metering system” Definition | Please identify the components that should be included in the definition of “revenue metering system” beyond the components identified above for “revenue meter”.  Additionally, for each component indicated to be part of the “revenue metering system” please note the requirement in proposed new Section 502.10 that makes the component necessary.  “**revenue metering system**” means the **metering equipment**, including the **revenue meter**, for acquisition, processing, delivery and storage of the interval data that is used for financial settlement with the **ISO**. |  |
| 1. Rental Meters | a) Please describe the circumstances under which your business would choose to install rental meters. |  |
| b) Additionally, would any exceptions to the minimum technical requirements need to be considered in the proposed Section 502.10? If so, please detail and explain the impacts. |  |
| 1. Back-up Meters | a) Please describe the circumstances under which your business would choose to install a back-up meter. |  |
| b) Does your organization support the addition of requirements pertaining to backup meter installation in the proposed draft Section 502.10? If so, detail the criteria needed. |  |
| c) Additionally, please provide the estimated installation and operating costs for a back-up meter as well as annual maintenance costs, if any. |  |
| 1. Shared Current Transformers | a) Please indicate whether your organization has installed meters that share CTs. If so, how many and under what conditions? |  |
| b) Have you experienced any issues with the meters that share CTs, such as increased meter measurement error? |  |
| c) Does your organization think the proposed Section 502.10 should incorporate requirements regarding the sharing of CTs? |  |
| 1. MW Class Determination | 1. How is MW class currently being calculated for in-situ testing. |  |
| 1. Please provide your organizations view on the following: 2. Should Section 502.10 set out a standard timeframe to be used for the data set used in the calculation of MW class. For instance, should the AESO adopt a November to November timeframe. Or does the month to month period selected not impact the data set; 3. If a standard timeframe is included in proposed Section 502.10 that does not align with your organizations current practices and systems please provide an estimate of the cost implications; 4. Should 0 MW intervals be factored into the methodology when determining MW class; 5. Should there be notification requirements for when a measurement point for a unit crosses the MW class threshold. Additionally, when should the first in-situ test be performed once the MW class changes; 6. Does your organization support the 2 and 4 year testing frequency requirements based on MW class; and 7. Should a metering point with a higher impact on the grid when it is operational be tested more frequently or should it be based on the average throughout the year? |  |
| 1. In-situ Testing | In performing in-situ testing at the commissioning stage, what should the “reasonable methods” be? Should the AESO be more prescriptive? |  |
| 1. Measurement data errors | In subsection 9 of proposed new Section 502.10, should the AESO set a threshold for the measurement data error? |  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments regarding the proposed new Section 502.10? | |  |