

## Participant-Related Costs for DFOs Principle Reconciliation

AESO Principles	FortisAlberta Principles	DCG Principles
<p><b>Overarching principle</b>                      Tariff design and implementation facilitates a fair, efficient and openly competitive market (FEOC)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fosters competition and encourages new market entry</li> <li>Efficiency</li> <li>Avoidance of undue discrimination</li> <li>Fairness</li> </ul>	<p>4. Clear, transparent and timely administration of tariff(s) to DCG</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While SSF has been around for 20 years, AESO has not applied to DFOs/DCG until recently                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evolving and varying application of ISO tariff SSF/CCDs</li> </ul> </li> <li>AESO's AMP                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mechanics of grandfathering, establishment of STS levels, etc.</li> </ul> </li> <li>In Distribution tariffs, DFOs can establish corresponding STS levels in DCG interconnection agreements that mirror system access service (SAS) Agreements with AESO</li> <li>AESO should develop an ID to make its CCD timing and contracting practices and rules more clear, consistent and transparent for DFOs/DCG</li> </ol>	<p>Economic principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates investor certainty</li> <li>Avoids unmitigable market participant risk</li> <li>Fosters competition and encourages new market entry</li> </ol> <p>Bonbright's ratemaking principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Efficiency</li> <li>Fairness</li> <li>Simplicity</li> <li>Rate stability</li> </ol>

AESO Principles	FortisAlberta Principles	DCG Principles
<p>1. Parity between transmission interconnection costs calculation for transmission connected customers and distribution connected customers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fairness</li> <li>• Effective price signals</li> </ul>	<p>3. Open, non-discriminatory system access for both T and D connected generation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Level playing field and parity between T and D connected generation</li> <li>b) AESO's substation fraction (SSF) method and practice was designed for the allocation of DTS and STS costs to a single T-connected participant; not suited for application to DFOs/DCG in its present form</li> <li>c) AESO's metering Information Document (ID) raises AESO concerns with respect to same (transmission price signal to DCG, Option M)</li> <li>d) Adjusted Metering Practice (AMP) (as approved) requires feeder metering for DCG, different from T-connected generation</li> </ol>	<p>Bonbright's ratemaking principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Efficiency</li> <li>5. Avoidance of undue discrimination</li> <li>6. Avoidance of cross-subsidies</li> <li>7. Fairness</li> </ol>
<p>2. Market participants should be responsible for an appropriate share of the costs of transmission facilities that are required to provide them with access to the transmission system (may include paying a contribution towards facilities paid for by other customers and refund to the customer that paid)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fairness</li> <li>• Cost causation</li> </ul>	<p>1. Reflect cost causation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Transmission interconnection costs for DCG</li> <li>b) STS-related costs (as determined by ISO tariff) are supply (generation) driven transmission costs which are the cost responsibility of DCG</li> <li>c) DCG should not be responsible for costs properly attributed to load (DTS)</li> </ol>	<p>Bonbright's ratemaking principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Cost causation</li> <li>2. Efficiency</li> <li>3. Avoidance of intergenerational inequity</li> <li>4. Avoidance of rate shock</li> <li>5. Avoidance of undue discrimination</li> <li>6. Avoidance of cross-subsidies</li> <li>7. Fairness</li> <li>9. Rate stability</li> </ol>

AESO Principles	FortisAlberta Principles	DCG Principles
<p>3. Costs should not be allocated to a DCG customer after the DCG has energized, if the DCG is not directly causing those costs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Certainty of future costs</li> <li>• Stability</li> </ul>	<p>2. Provide effective and timely price signals to DCG</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Contribution price signal can only be effective when the DCG proponent is aware of the costs it would be subject to, prior to proceeding with its project, and/or the TFO/DFOs and DCG being required to deploy of capital</li> <li>b) DCG should not be allocated additional STS contribution costs after connection, unless STS levels (related to their project) change at POD</li> <li>c) Timing of CCDs/STS Contribution(s) to DFO/DCG should be coordinated with: generating unit owner's contribution (GUOC), establishment of STS contract level, STS losses factor, T&amp;D interconnection costs for each DCG? – to enable DCG cost certainty before DCG project proceeding</li> </ol>	<p>Economic principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Creates investor certainty</li> <li>B. Avoids unmitigable market participant risk</li> </ol> <p>Bonbright's ratemaking principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Avoidance of rate shock</li> </ol>
<p>4. DFOs should be provided with reasonable certainty re: cost treatment/recovery</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Certainty of future costs</li> <li>• Stability</li> </ul>	<p>1. Reflect cost causation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>d) All transmission costs are a distribution tariff flow through item <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must accord with Transmission Regulation – section 47(a) and approved tariffs</li> <li>• DFO “discretion” implies DFO interfering with AESO cost allocation signal to STS</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<p>Bonbright's ratemaking principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10. Effectiveness of yielding the total revenue requirement</li> </ol>