## Stakeholder Comment Matrix - October 26, 2020

## <u>Draft Proposed Amended Section 505.2 of the ISO Rules, Performance Criteria for Refund of Generating Unit Owner's Contribution</u> ("Section 505.2") – Option 1 Draft Rule Language



Period of Comment: October 26, 2020 through November 9, 2020 Contact: Jordan Balaban

Comments From:Greengate Power CorporationPhone:403 930 1300

Date [yyyy/mm/dd]: 2020/11/09 Email: Jordan@greengatepower.com

## Instructions:

- 1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.
- 2. Please refer back to the Letter of Notice for Feedback on the Content of Proposed Options for Amended Section 505.2 under the "Related Materials" section to view the actual draft proposed materials on amended Section 505.2.
- 3. On the sections of the rule listed below, please provide your specific comments, proposed revisions, and reasons for your position underneath (if any). Blank boxes will be interpreted as favourable comments.
- 4. Please be advised that general comments do not give the AESO any specific issue to consider and address, and results in a general response.

Ques	Question		Stakeholder Comments
Refu	nd of G	enerating Unit Owner's Contribution	
<b>2</b> as:	The <b>ISO</b> must calculate a refund for each calendar year during the refund period		
		$refund = annual amount \times availability assessment$	
	where	e:	
	(a)	annual amount is as specified in the ISO tariff; and	
	(b)	availability assessment is calculated in accordance with subsection 3, 4, or 5, as applicable.	

Page 1 Public

Question			Stakeholder Comments
Availability	Assessment for Gene	eration With Energy Market Offers	
3 Subject to subsections 4 and 5, the <b>ISO</b> must calculate the availability assessment for a <b>generating unit</b> or an <b>aggregated generating facility</b> that submits <b>offers</b> for energy as follows:			Greengate supports the second alternative. This first alternative maintains many details that are overly complex as compared to the second alternative.
capability of the ge		ime-weighted hourly availability using the available nerating unit or aggregated generating facility in maximum capability;	
		e hourly availability by dividing the value determined in he number of hours in the year; and	
(c)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Average Hourly Availability [subsection 3(c)]		Availability Assessment	
Le	ess than 0.60	0%	
0.60 to 0.80		$\frac{\text{average hourly availability } - 0.60}{0.20} \times 100\%$	
Greater than 0.80		100%	
	Assessment for Rend Less than 5 MW	ewable Generation and Generation with a Maximum	
river hydroe aggregated aggregated	electric <b>generating unit</b> asset containing a wind I <b>generating facility</b> , at	e availability assessment for a wind, solar, or run of or an <b>aggregated generating facility</b> , an I, solar or run of river <b>generating unit</b> or and a <b>generating unit</b> or <b>aggregated generating</b> y less than 5 MW, as follows:	If the AESO chooses alternative #1 then the levels of average availability for solar and wind units is reasonable. However, the calculations are complex and likely offer limited value as compared to Option 2.

Page 2 Public



uestion			Stakeholder Comments
metered energy of the g		ne-weighted hourly availability using the enerating unit or aggregated generating s dispatched for operating reserve, in imum capability;	
(b)		availability by dividing the value determined in imber of hours in the year; and	
		), determine the availability assessment for the egated generating facility based on the average ws:	
`	ge Hourly Availability subsection 4(c)]	Availability Assessment	
	Less than 0.15	0%	
	0.15 to 0.25	average hourly availability $-0.15$ x 100%	
G	reater than 0.25	100%	
	ermine the availability asses ed on the average hourly a	esment for a solar <b>aggregated generating facility</b> vailability as follows:	
Ave	erage Hourly Availability [subsection 4(c)]	Availability Assessment	
	Less than 0.08	0%	
	0.08 to 0.12	average hourly availability - 0.08 x 100%	
	Greater than 0.12	100%	

Page 3 Public



Question		Stakeholder Comments
Availability Assessment for Behin	d the Fence Generation with Net Offers	
onsite generating units or aggrega	availability assessment for a site with 1 or more ted generating facilities that supplies electric ets and offers excess generation to the energy	
	e time-weighted hourly availability using the of the site in relation to the site's Rate STS	
	urly availability by dividing the value determined in e number of hours in the year; and	
(c) determine the availab average hourly availa	oility assessment for the site based on the ability as follows:	
Average Hourly Availability [subsection 5(c)]	Availability Assessment	
Less than 0.60	0%	
0.60 to 0.80	$\frac{\text{average hourly availability } - 0.60}{0.20} \times 100\%$	
Greater than 0.80	100%	
Adjustments		
unit or aggregated generating faci the owner of a generating unit or a	ents to the hourly availability if the <b>generating</b> lity is affected by an event outside the control of <b>ggregated generating facility</b> , including but not on facility outage, congestion, a <b>directive</b> issued under the <b>ISO tariff</b> or an <b>ISO rule</b> .	

Page 4 Public



Question	Stakeholder Comments
Communication	
7 The ISO must provide a preliminary performance assessment, along with all related input data, to the legal owner of a generating unit or an aggregated generating facility by January 31 of the year following the calendar year to which the refund relates.	

Page 5 Public