## Stakeholder Comment Matrix - October 26, 2020

Draft Proposed Amended Section 505.2 of the ISO Rules, Performance Criteria for Refund of Generating Unit Owner's Contribution ("Section 505.2") - Option 2 Draft Rule Language



Period of Comment: October 26, 2020 through November 9, 2020 Contact: Jordan Balaban **Comments From: Greengate Power Corporation** Phone: 403 930 1300

**Date [yyyy/mm/dd]:** 2020/11/09 Email: Jordan@greengatepower.com

Instructions:

1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.

- 2. Please refer back to the Letter of Notice for Feedback on the Content of Proposed Options for Amended Section 505.2 under the "Related Materials" section to view the actual draft proposed materials on amended Section 505.2.
- 3. On the sections of the rule listed below, please provide your specific comments, proposed revisions, and reasons for your position underneath (if any). Blank boxes will be interpreted as favourable comments.
- 4. Please be advised that general comments do not give the AESO any specific issue to consider and address, and results in a general response.

| Question  | Stakeholder Comments   |
|---|--|
| Refund of Generating Unit Owner's Contribution  |  |
| 2 The ISO must calculate a refund for each calendar year during the refund period as follows: | Greengate agrees with and strongly supports this second alternative. |
| refund = (annual amount x availability) x $(1 - penalty factor)$<br>where:                    |  |
| (a) annual amount is as specified in the ISO tariff;  |  |

Page 1 Public

| Question  | Stakeholder Comments  |
|---|---|
| (b) availability is the availability factor assessed for the calendar year in accordance with subsection 3(1); and  |   |
| (c) penalty factor is the penalty factor calculated for the calendar year in accordance with subsection 3(2).   |   |
| Performance Assessment  |   |
| <b>3(1)</b> The <b>ISO</b> must assess the availability of a <b>generating unit</b> or <b>aggregated generating facility</b> as follows:  | Greengate appreciates and strongly agrees with this proposed option 2 change to the assessment for availability.  |
| <ul> <li>(a) if the revenue meter of the generating unit or aggregated<br/>generating facility recorded metered energy in a settlement<br/>interval during the previous calendar year, availability factor<br/>is 100%;</li> </ul>                    |   |
| (b) if the <b>revenue meter</b> of the <b>generating unit</b> or <b>aggregated generating facility</b> recorded zero metered energy in all <b>settlement intervals</b> during the previous calendar year, availability factor is 0%.                  |   |
| (2) If the maximum capability of the generating unit or aggregated generating facility on the first day of each calendar year during the refund period is less than its critical maximum capability, the ISO must assess a penalty factor as follows: | For solar units the MC may be defined by the STS level of the facility. If the STS level changes or increases in the future, then the MC level will also be modified. Given that, it likely beneficial to specify in (a)i) that the current or most recent STS agreement will be used in determining the critical maximum capability. |
| penalty factor = \frac{ABS(critical maximum capability - energized maximum capability)}{critical maximum capability}  | It is also likely helpful to have a grace area around the MC since the actual MC level is theoretical until the unit operates. Perhaps a 10% variance around the MC would be helpful in ensuring that Market Participants are not harmed with   |
| where:  | equipment operating at ranges slightly different than design.   |

Page 2 Public



| Question  | Stakeholder Comments |
|---|----------------------|
| (a) critical maximum capability is  |                      |
| (i) the maximum capability of the generating unit or aggregated generating facility at the time the Rate STS system access service agreement is effective; or   |                      |
| <ul><li>(ii) energized maximum capability as defined in<br/>subsection 3(2)(b), if there is no change in Rate STS<br/>at the point of supply;</li></ul>   |                      |
| and   |                      |
| (b) energized maximum capability is the maximum capability of the generating unit or aggregated generating facility following energization and commissioning.   |                      |
| Preliminary Refund Assessment   |                      |
| 4 The ISO must provide a preliminary refund assessment, along with relevant input data, to the legal owner of a generating unit or an aggregated generating facility by January 31 of the year following the calendar year to which the refund relates. |                      |

Page 3 Public