

Stakeholder Comment Matrix – May 28, 2020

Participant-Related Costs for DFOs (Substation Fraction) and DFO Cost Flow-Through
Technical Session (2B)



Please note that the following submissions are those of AUC staff and have been provided further to AUC staff's ongoing participation in the AESO's technical sessions. These submissions are those of AUC staff only and should not be construed as being representative of the views of any AUC Commission member related to these issues or any resulting proceedings before the AUC.

Period of Comment: May 28, 2020 through June 11, 2020	Contact: [REDACTED]
Comments From: Alberta Utilities Commission	Phone: [REDACTED]
Date: 2020/06/11	Email: [REDACTED]

Instructions:

1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.
2. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments.
3. **Please submit one completed evaluation per organization.**
4. Email your completed comment matrix to tariffdesign@aeso.ca by **June 11, 2020**.

The AESO is seeking comments from Stakeholders with regard to the following matters:

	Questions	Stakeholder Comments
1.	<p>Please comment on the Technical Session 2B facilitated by the AESO on May 28, 2020. Was the session valuable? Was there something we could have done to make the session more helpful? Please advise and be as specific as possible.</p>	<p>AUC staff found participation in the session to be very valuable.</p>
2.	<p>The following five questions are seeking comments on the Technical Session 2B discussion regarding the outstanding design details identified on Slide 27.</p> <p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Substation fraction = 1 for DFOs 	
3.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determining a \$/MW charge for DCG 	<p>Q1: Slide #22 states that the \$/MW charge should be balanced to reflect optimization of the existing distribution and transmission system. What is intended by that statement and how might it be accomplished?</p>
4.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determining the applicability of the DCG charge 	
5.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determining the administration of the DCG charge 	

6.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Looking towards implementation 	
7.	<p>Additional comments</p>	<p>Q2: In seeking parity with regard to interconnection costs for transmission and distribution customers, does the AESO consider it important to obtain parity between customers who do not compete with each other and so presumably are unaffected by level playing field considerations? More particularly, is achieving parity in interconnection costs between T connected loads and generators or between DFO served loads and DCG's, a relevant consideration, and if so, why?</p> <p>Q3: How might having DCG's contribute to the cost of existing facilities paid for by DFO served loads, create effective price signals?</p> <p>Q4: In order to create effective price signals to guide interconnection choices particularly between T and D, might it be practical and/or desirable for the AESO to define interconnection costs (paid for by the generator), to include any additional system costs above the least cost set of system upgrades (paid for by load), that are required to interconnect a generator in the most cost-effective manner overall?</p>

Thank you for your input. Please email your comments to: tariffdesign@aeso.ca.