

Stakeholder Comment Matrix – May 28, 2020

Participant-Related Costs for DFOs (Substation Fraction) and DFO Cost Flow-Through
Technical Session (2B)



<p>Period of Comment: May 28, 2020 through June 11, 2020</p> <p>Comments From: EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc.</p> <p>Date: 2020/06/11</p>	<p>Contact: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Phone: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Email: [REDACTED]</p>
--	---

Instructions:

1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.
2. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments.
3. **Please submit one completed evaluation per organization.**
4. Email your completed comment matrix to tariffdesign@aeso.ca by **June 11, 2020**.

The AESO is seeking comments from Stakeholders with regard to the following matters:

	Questions	Stakeholder Comments
1.	<p>Please comment on the Technical Session 2B facilitated by the AESO on May 28, 2020. Was the session valuable? Was there something we could have done to make the session more helpful? Please advise and be as specific as possible.</p>	<p>EDTI found the session valuable. The format seemed to work and EDTI was able to participate.</p>
2.	<p>The following five questions are seeking comments on the Technical Session 2B discussion regarding the outstanding design details identified on Slide 27.</p> <p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Substation fraction = 1 for DFOs 	<p>EDTI does not agree in principle. EDTI supports a proposal that requires DCG customers to pay for incremental improvements at the DFO's substation that are required to connect the DCG to the system or required to accommodate a change in service requested by the DCG. Additionally the DCG customer would not be required to pay for any improvements at the substation that were not a direct result of a change in service requested by the DCG customer.</p>
3.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determining a \$/MW charge for DCG 	<p>EDTI does not agree in principle. See EDTI's comments for question 2.</p>
4.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determining the applicability of the DCG charge 	<p>EDTI does not agree in principle. See EDTI's comments for question 2.</p>
5.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determining the administration of the DCG charge 	<p>EDTI does not agree in principle. See EDTI's comments for question 2.</p>

6.	<p>Please comment if (1) your organization does have or does not have agreement in principle and (2) any additional clarity or consideration to provide on the following outstanding design details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Looking towards implementation 	EDTI does not agree in principle. See EDTI's comments for question 2.
7.	Additional comments	EDTI has no additional comments.

Thank you for your input. Please email your comments to: tariffdesign@aeso.ca.