# **Tariff Design Advisory Group**

# **Session 9 - Summary**



April 15, 2019, 9:00 am to 1:00 pm, AESO Offices

#### **Discussion Materials**

Prior to the session, the following discussion materials were provided by email to TDAG members:

- Meeting agenda
- Draft TDAG Meeting Notes March 6, 2019 (sent April 1, 2019)
- Presentation: Capacity Cost Allocation Analysis (CCAA) WG Update (sent April 14, 2019)

#### Attendees

Representative	Company or Organization	Present?	Alternate	Present?		
	Demand rate payer representation					
	Residential, farm	and commercial of	consumers			
Richard Stout	UCA	Teleconference	Megan Gill	No		
Mark McGillivray	ENMAX	Yes	David Lenz	No		
Raj Retnanandan	CCA	Yes	Dustin Madsen	No		
Dan Levson	AFREA	Yes	Dave Butler	No		
	Indus	trial Consumers				
Vittoria Bellissimo	IPCAA	Yes	Richard Penn	No		
Grant Pellegrin	Cenovus	Yes	Horst Klinkenborg	No		
	Dem	and Response				
Colette Chekerda	ADC	No	Christian Lenci	No		
Surendra Singh	Alberta News Print	Yes	Christian Lenci	No		
		Load and Genera	Ition			
Dale Hildebrand	Dual Use Customers	Teleconference	Myles Fox	No		
Kris Aksomitis	Cogeneration Working Group	No	Travis Tuchscherer	No		
Distribution Facility Owners						
Janene Taylor	ENMAX Corporation	Yes	Tony Martino	Teleconference		
Leland Jernberg						
	Repres	entatives-at-large	9			
Ed de Palezieux	Devon Energy	Teleconference	Rick Cowburn	Yes		
Bryan Krawchyshyn	TransCanada Pipelines	Yes	Mark Thompson	No		
	Other i	interested parties				
		sion Facility Owne				
Hao Liu	AltaLink	Yes	Rob Senko	Teleconference		
	Generators	(includes renewa	bles)			
Colin Robb	Capital Power	No	Akira Yamamoto	Yes		
	Energy Storage					
Patricia Phillips	Energy Storage Canada	No	Steven Oldale	No		
		sentative-at-large				
Tory Whiteside	Distributed Generation Working Group	Teleconference	Leonard Olien	No		

Tariff Advisory Group, Session 9 (April 15, 2019)

Representative	Company or Organization	Present?	Alternate	Present?
		AESO		
Doyle Sullivan	AESO	Yes		
LaRhonda Papworth	AESO	Yes		
John Martin	AESO	Yes		
Trushal Umrania	AESO	No		
		Facilitator		
Matt Gray	AESO	Yes		
Karla Reesor	Moving Forward	No		

#### Guests: None

#### Agenda

Time	# min	Agenda Items	Presenter
9:00 am – 9:05 am	5 min	<ul> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Welcome (members, presenters)</li> <li>Session overview and objectives <ul> <li>Discuss themes, other relevant points arising from feedback</li> <li>Determine work plan impacts</li> </ul> </li> <li>WG updates</li> <li>Review and approval of March meeting notes</li> <li>Update on Action Items</li> </ul>	Matt Gray, Facilitator
9:05 am – 10:05 am	60 min	<b>Discussion item:</b> Feedback on March 13 session Roundtable for each TDAG member to raise feedback (their own, their constituents,' and other points) for discussion <b>Desired Outcome:</b> Determine impacts to work plans	All
10:05 am – 10:20 am	15 min	Break	
10:20 am – 10:50 am	30 min	<b>Discussion item:</b> Feedback on March 13 session (cont'd)	All
10:50 am – 11:20 am	30 min	<ul><li>Information item: Capacity Cost Allocation Analysis</li><li>WG Update</li><li>Chair presentation</li></ul>	John Martin
11:20 am – 11:35 am	15 min	<ul> <li>Discussion item: CCAWG Recommendation (Time blocks)</li> <li>TDAG Discussion</li> <li>Desired Outcome: Decision on recommendation</li> </ul>	Matt Gray

11:35 am – 11:50 am	15 min	<ul><li>Information item: Capacity Cost Allocation Terms and Conditions WG Update</li><li>Chair presentation</li></ul>	Richard Stout
11:50 am – 12:05 pm	15 min	<ul><li>Information item: Transmission Tariff WG Update</li><li>Chair presentation</li></ul>	LaRhonda Papworth
12:05 pm – 12:15 pm	10 min	Review of conclusions, action items and next steps	Matt Gray
12:15 – 12:45 pm	30 min	Lunch	
Session adjourned			
Image: Second			

#### **Meeting Notes**

Time	Item
9:00 am	<ul> <li>Introductions and overview of session</li> <li>Meeting notes from March 6, 2019 were approved with no revisions</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>2. Discussion of feedback on March 13 session</li> <li>Roundtable: each TDAG member present was provided opportunity to discuss feedback</li> <li>TDAG members comments related to the following topics and themes:</li> <li>The RAM model</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The sufficiency of the RAM to accurately determine EUE hours and the reliance for determining weights/bins,</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The importance of improving its accuracy and how can it be modified for "fit for purpose" for cost allocation</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Criteria and definitions</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The need to establish definitions (and criteria) for economic and uneconomic bypass</li> </ul>
	o Pricing
	<ul> <li>Avoid the risk of incentives leading to cross subsidies</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The need for a sufficient multiplier to be applied to high-priced hours to incent a response;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>That pricing off peak hours diminishes the price signal</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The need for a strong price signal for the on-peak block if we want any load to respond</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>If the price in the on-peak is too high it may lead to unintended consequences</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Value: are we paying too high a price in the high weight bin for what we are getting in return (i.e. reduction in procurement volume benefit is much lower than the pay-out to price responsive load)?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The need for tariff design to honour principles such as efficient pricing</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>If load was able to participate on the demand side of the capacity market (and</li> </ul>

	therefore provide immediate response) then there would be less of a requirement to heavily weight the high-weight bin (or possibly not even require one)
0	Regulatory review of ISO provisional rules application
	<ul> <li>The potential for an AUC decision on ISO rules application to alter RAM model or how it is being used, as well as other AUC decision impacts</li> </ul>
0	The capacity market regulation
	<ul> <li>Perceived shortcomings: some members view it as inefficient; some question of the allocation of costs to exports</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>limits the ability for an optimal tariff design</li> </ul>
0	Holistic view of costs
	<ul> <li>A preference to understand the whole view of costs (i.e., capacity, transmission and energy market) to avoid perverse incentives and unintended outcomes</li> </ul>
0	Implementation:
	<ul> <li>The risk that implementing capacity cost allocation design could be complicated if greater than 3 bins</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>DFO approval/implementation may go beyond Cap Mkt go live date</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The need for coordinated communications and consistent messaging will be important for consumers to accept change</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The need for discussions with AUC to determine how DFO applications containing implementation measures (for the recovering capacity market costs) will be reviewed especially with the multiple DFO application's required.</li> </ul>
3. Capac	ity Cost Allocation Analysis WG Update
John Martin March 13.	n (Chair) provided an update regarding work completed since the industry session on
Highlights:	
	G examined additional time block options, including two options with four time blocks. tails of the options that were examined are in the WG Update presentation.
opt	ne blocks were re-named to "high-weight," "medium-weight," "light-weight" (in four-block tions only), and "low-weight" to avoid confusion with other on-peak and off-peak minology used by the AESO.
rec blo	G is advancing four-block option with year-round weekend daytime block as a commendation to TDAG (see notes on next Agenda item below). "Light-weight" weekend ock comprises hours between hour ending (HE) 8 to HE 23, with those hours shifted from e "low-weight" block in the "base" three-block option.
• Ne	xt steps are to develop weights for these four blocks with consideration of:
	<ul> <li>All points raised in March 13 session and in feedback</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>A holistic view of all costs (i.e., energy, capacity, transmission) to the extent</li> </ul>
	possible
	<ul> <li>Impacts on individual classes of consumers</li> </ul>
4. Capac	ity Cost Allocation Analysis WG Recommendation
four blocks	n presented the CCAA WG recommendation of allocating capacity market costs using . TDAG members supported the recommendation, on the condition that the WG the following points:
	assess the reasonableness of the time blocks after weights are determined and after listic review with energy market costs is completed
	ovide an opportunity for Distribution system owners and retailers to assess whether four the blocks are practical for them to implement

	<ul> <li>Consider re-evaluating time blocks if the Commission decision on the AESO capacity market rules application materially affects the RAM analysis used for the unserved energy distribution</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Review the start and end times of the "light-weight" weekend daytime time block for reasonableness</li> </ul>			
	The following additional points were made:			
	<ul> <li>When only 1.4 % of energy usage is attributable to export, it is difficult to see why this should be an important consideration that would influence weights</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>There are so many variables and uncertainties to consider in the cost allocation that there is no single correct answer</li> </ul>			
	5. Capacity Cost Allocation Terms and Conditions WG Update: Recommendations			
	Richard Stout (Chair) briefly discussed the current focus of the Terms and Conditions WG on determining details about managing capacity market revenues through a deferral account. John Martin indicated that past experience with other riders suggests that a quarterly adjustment to rates is preferred as it keeps costs and revenues in sync while avoiding the administrative burden of a shorter adjustment period.			
	6. Transmission Tariff WG			
	LaRhonda Papworth provided an update to members on the Tariff Design Working Group (TTWG). Chair duties for this working group will shift from Dale Hildebrand to LaRhonda. The TTWG will move forward with several studies in order to determine a recommendation for allocating bulk and regional transmission costs. AESO resources have and will continue to be constrained in order to service regulatory proceedings (ISO tariff and the ISO capacity market rules). In order to advance the tariff design work, the AESO will commission work with a consultant to develop a tariff overview. An update on precise studies, data requirements and timing will be provided at the next TDAG session on May 9.			
1:00pm	7. Meeting adjourned			

## Report on past action Items

#	AG Action Items	Action by	Due Date	Status		
Session 8,	Session 8, March 6, 2019					
Action Item 1 from S-6 (Jan)	Work with DFO reps to set scope for review of schedule implications	Trushal Umrania (AESO)	Feb 7	On-going		
Action Item 2 from S-7 (Feb)	TT WG (Hao Liu AML and Grant Pellegrin, Cenovus) will meet with Dan Levson (AFREA) to discuss aspects of data collection	Hao Liu, Grant Pellegrin	When available	Complete		

### Action items assigned this session

#	AG Action Items	Action by	Due Date	Status
Session 9	, April 15, 2019			
ActionDetermine whether four blocks areItem 1manageable for DFO implementation		Janene Taylor	May 9	Not started
Action Item 2	Test magnitude of estimated deferral cost (5% or less) and quarterly rate adjustment (true-up) schedule with IPCAA members	Vittoria Bellissimo	May 9	Not started
Action Item 3	Follow up with Ed de Palezieux regarding comment about the AESO and generator outages	Matt Gray	May 9	In – progress (emailed to arrange phone call April 17)
Action Item 4	Develop proposal for AUC meeting regarding implementation and expectations for AUC approval of DFO tariffs as they relate to the new ISO tariff; present outline to TDAG	Doyle	May 9	Not started

#### **Report on Decision Items**

Session (S# + date)	(#)	AG Decision Items		
2018				
August 17		Advisory Group established		
S1- August 23	Decision Item 1	Advisory Group approved Terms of Reference with changes		
S2 – September 6	Decision Item 2	Advisory Group approved work plans with changes		
	Decision Item 3	Data Requirements Working Group struck		
S3 – October 4	Decision Item 4	Capacity Cost Allocation Analysis Working Group struck		
S4 – November 8	Decision Item 5	Cost Causation Working Group work struck		
S5 – December 5	Decision Item 6	Terms and Conditions Working Group struck		
	Decision Item 7	Data Requirements Working Group concluded		
	Decision Item 8	Transmission Tariff Design Working Group struck		
S7 – February 7, 2019	Decision Item 9	Approved plan for studies and data collection proposed by Tariff Design Working Group		
S-9 - April 15, 2019	Decision Item 10	CCAA WG recommendation of four time blocks accepted by TDAG		

Session (S# + date)	(#)	AG Decision Items
S-10 April 15, 2019	Decision Item 10	Chair duties for TTWG assumed by LaRhonda Papworth